Transcriptionally targeted gene therapy to detect and treat cancer
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The greatest challenge in cancer treatment is to achieve the highest levels of specificity and efficacy. Cancer gene therapy could be designed specifically to express therapeutic genes to induce cancer cell destruction. Cancer-specific promoters are useful tools to accomplish targeted expression; however, high levels of gene expression are needed to achieve therapeutic efficacy. Incorporating an imaging reporter gene in tandem with the therapeutic gene will allow tangible proof of principle that gene expression occurs at the correct location and at a sufficient level. Gene-based imaging can advance cancer detection and diagnosis. By combining the cancer-targeted imaging and therapeutic strategies, the exciting prospect of a ‘one-two punch’ to find hidden, disseminated cancer cells and destroy them simultaneously can potentially be realized.

Multiple genetic alterations that confer growth advantages to tumor cells are accumulated during the transformation from normal to neoplastic growth [1]. The loss of growth suppressive genes or gain of oncogenes constitutes a common mechanism of oncogenesis. Based on this information, a rational therapeutic approach is to re-introduce the defective growth control genes into tumor cells. In addition, approaches of inducing apoptotic responses and enhancing anti-tumor immune responses have also been employed [2]. Due to the availability of multiple flexible therapeutic strategies, gene therapy is being actively investigated in clinical settings.

Among the ~40 ongoing gene therapy clinical trials for cancer (searched via www.clinicaltrials.gov/), 18 of the trial protocols involve an immune activating scheme, ten studies employ a genetic correction strategy and five use a cytotoxic gene. With regard to genetic correction strategies, the excision repair crossing over (Rox) is a major target. The recombinant adenovirus is cytotoxic gene. With regard to genetic correction strategies, the exciting prospect of a ‘one-two punch’ to find hidden, disseminated cancer cells and destroy them simultaneously can potentially be realized.
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Transcriptional targeting

The transcriptional regulatory regions of a gene control the kinetics and levels of mRNA production. Typically, the gene regulatory regions can be subdivided into proximal promoter and distal enhancer elements, gauged by the distance from the start site of transcription [7]. A complex array of transcription factors bind to these regulatory regions. Complex coordinated actions of the activators recruit the RNA polymerase II general machinery to the promoter and initiate transcription of the gene. Some activators are ubiquitously expressed, whereas others are restricted to certain cell types [7]. Cell-specific expression can be thought of as being mediated by a unique subset of ubiquitous and specific activators present in the cell. Transcriptional targeting is feasible because the tissue- or cancer-specific promoter can be activated in the targeted cancer cell in the presence of the proper subset of activators [7]. Cell-specific expression can be achieved by using cell-type-specific promoters (Table 1). Testing in animal models showed that specific promoters exhibit a clear advantage of efficacy, especially when the gene therapy vector is directly delivered into patients. Based on features that distinguish cancerous from normal cells, three targeting strategies could be employed. Transcriptional targeting takes advantage of the fact that some cancer cells express a subset of exclusive genes, and uses these cancer-specific promoters to express the desired transgenes [5]. Transductional targeting refers to surface modification on the gene delivery vehicle to enhance interactions with the cancer cell membrane antigen, thereby improving gene transfer into the cancerous cell. A third promising approach is to exploit cancer-associated cellular pathways to activate therapy. For example, the attenuated adenovirus dl1520 (ONXY-015), lacking viral E1B 55k protein, was reported to selectively replicate and kill p53 deficient tumor cells and not normal cells [2] and references within. In another example, the cytotoxic activity of a fusogenic glycoprotein (GALV) was engineered to be activated by matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) cleavage of a blocking domain [6]. This modulated GALV exhibited selective cytotoxicity to MMP-expressing glioma cells, while sparing normal human astrocytes.

In this review, we will focus on transcriptional targeting for cancer, and discuss strategies to amplify the magnitude of specific expression and the use of imaging modalities to monitor transgene expression in living animals.
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reduced cytotoxicity, compared with a strong constitutive promoter such as the human cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter currently used in clinical trials. For example, when Fas ligand expression was driven by neuronal tissue-specific promoters such as glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) or neuronal-specific enolase (NSE), no hepatocyte apoptosis manifested as acute liver hemorrhage was observed [8]. Despite the tumor-directed injection of the CMV-driven interleukin (IL)-12 adenoviral vector, serious side effects resulted from systemic immune activation [9].

Table 1. Tissue- and cancer-specific promoters used in targeted gene therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Promoter</th>
<th>Target tumor type</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFAP</td>
<td>Glioma</td>
<td>Ad with GFAP or NSE promoter driving FasL exhibited diminished liver toxicity</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSA</td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>Ad with E1A driven by PSA promoter destroyed LNCaP tumors</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Ad with ALA or β-lactoglobulin promoter driving HSV-TK exhibited regression of breast cancer in animal model</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA</td>
<td>Digestive tract cancer</td>
<td>Cytotoxic gene therapy approach with viral vector using CEA promoter</td>
<td>[23,24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APA</td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td>Cytotoxic or immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma with viral vector regulated by APA promoter</td>
<td>[20,21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIF-1α</td>
<td>Tumor (fibrosarcoma)</td>
<td>Specific expression with hypoxia-responsive element in HT1080 transfectant in vitro and in vivo</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTERT</td>
<td>Tumor (glioma)</td>
<td>Constitutive active caspase-6 driven by hTERT promoter suppressed glioma in nude mice</td>
<td>[35]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2F</td>
<td>Tumor</td>
<td>Tumor-selective oncolytic adenovirus</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteocalcin</td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>Oncolytic effect of conditional-replicating Ad in Rb pathway-defective cells</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muc-1</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>Conditional-replicating Ad co-targeting tumor and associated osteoblasts kills cancer cells in vitro and skeletal metastasis in animal model</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(DF3)</td>
<td>Ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Ad with E1A driven by DF3/MUC1 promoter and CMV promoter driven TNF induced tumor regression</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-plastin</td>
<td>Breast and ovarian cancer</td>
<td>Conditional-replicating Ad with E1A driven by truncated L-plastin promoter showed cytotoxic effect in animal model</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: ALA, α-lactalbumin; BAX, pro-apoptotic member of the Bcl-2 family; DF3/Muc-1, mucin core protein-1; E2F, E2A-binding factor; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; NSE, neuronal-specific enolase; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; AFP, α-fetoprotein; HIF-1α, α-subunit of hypoxia-inducible transcription factor-1; hTERT, human telomerase reverse transcriptase; CMV, cytomegalovirus; TNF, tumor necrosis factor*
The use of an inducible promoter based on the heat shock proteins (hsp70B) greatly limited IL-12 transgene-mediated toxicity [9].

Tissue-targeted gene therapy has been investigated for melanoma [10,11], prostate cancer [12] and breast cancer [13]. Tyrosinase, a key enzyme in melanin synthesis, is highly expressed in melanoma cells; therefore, its promoter has been used in melanoma targeted gene therapy [14]. The fidelity of the tyrosinase promoter was demonstrated in a melanoma-targeted oncolytic scheme, in which restricted adenoviral E1A gene expression led to greater than 200-fold selective viral replication and cytolysis [10].

The PSA gene encodes a serine protease that is expressed in normal and cancerous prostatic epithelial cells, and is an important serum marker for prostate cancer [12]. Due to its highly specific nature, the PSA promoter has been frequently used in prostate cancer-targeted gene therapy approaches [3,15,16]. A modified PSA promoter-driven luciferase reporter adenoviral vector construct showed preferential expression in prostate gland and tumors, by three orders of magnitude, compared with liver tissue [17,18]. Human α-lactalbumin (hALA) is an enzyme involved in lactose production, and it is expressed in the lactating mammary gland and in a high proportion of breast cancer cases [19]. Selected expression in breast cancer cells was demonstrated with an hALA promoter-driven reporter and therapeutic gene [13]. Tissue-specific promoter-based cytotoxic gene therapy can damage normal tissue at the site where the promoter is active. This therapeutic approach is feasible in situations in which the normal targeted tissue is dispensable.

The carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and α-fetoprotein (AFP) are embryonic proteins that become reactivated in carcinomas. Because these two genes are dormant in normal adult tissues, their promoters are highly tumor selective. The AFP promoter has been employed to target hepatic cancers in many therapeutic strategies, including expression of cytosine deaminase [20], the immunostimulatory IL-2 gene [21] and in oncolytic adenovirus [22]. The CEA promoter can be applied to diverse cancers in which this gene is overexpressed, such as gastric carcinoma [23] and colorectal cancer [24]. Adenoviral vectors carrying CEA promoter-driven therapeutic genes were able to mediate targeted expression, tumor regression and prolonged survival in CEA + tumor-bearing mice [25], with minimal liver toxicity [26]. Because AFP and CEA are used as serum diagnostic markers in several carcinomas [27,28], employing these promoters as targets would be supported in expression-positive carcinomas.

Promoters that are upregulated in cancer-specific conditions can be exploited for targeting. The abnormal tumor microenvironment can induce altered gene expression; for example, inadequate vascular supply relative to the rapid growth of cancer cells leads to hypoxia [29], which initiates a cascade of gene expression mediated by the hypoxia-inducible transcription factor (HIF). The α-subunit of HIF-1 is the inducible component of the heterodimeric HIF, which binds to the hypoxia response element (HRE) and activates target gene expression in response to hypoxia [30,31]. Incorporation of HRE into the adenovirus E1A gene regulatory region resulted in a virus that replicates and lyses tumor cells in a hypoxia-dependent manner [32].

Telomerase plays an important role in cell immortalization and tumorigenesis, and its activity is highly dependent on the catalytic subunit, human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT) [33]. High levels of hTERT expression regulated at the transcription step are observed in malignant tumors but not in normal cells [34]. Therefore, use of the hTERT promoter has achieved targeted therapeutic results in experimental bladder cancer and glioma models [35].

Dysregulated cell cycle control and unrestricted growth of cancer is frequently caused by disruption of the retinoblastoma (Rb)/E2F/p16 pathway [36], which in turn activates E2F. E2F is a transcription factor that activates its own promoter and other genes involved in cell-cycle transition [37]. This elevated E2F-1 activity in cancer cells was exploited to control adenoviral E1A gene expression and viral replication. E2F appears to be a feasible target to mediate tumor lysis of multiple Rb-defective cancers [38,39]. A similar cancer-targeted oncolytic virus has been developed with the osteocalcin promoter for prostate cancer [40], the Muc-1 promoter for breast cancer [41] and the L-plastin promoter for breast and ovarian cancer [11]. It is desirable to use a specific promoter to direct viral lytic replication because an intrinsic amplification of therapeutic response is incorporated into this approach. Upon viral replication, a burst of progeny viruses can infect additional tumor cells.

**Augmenting cancer-specific expression**

Although the use of specific promoters will be likely to improve the safety of gene-based therapy, the activities of specific promoters are weaker than the current benchmark CMV promoter. Given that in vivo gene delivery to the tumor cells might be limited, a concern for employing weak specific promoters is that therapeutic efficacy might decline. However, any attempt to enhance the potency of promoters will need to retain the specificity of the promoter in order to maintain potential therapeutic benefits.

Simple manipulations of known regulatory elements, such as removal of a negative and inert regulatory sequence or multimerization of positive elements, can promote synergistic and cooperative interactions of activators to enhance transcription. For example, the activity of native PSA promoter and enhancer (PSE) can be augmented by modifying the androgen receptor (AR) elements, which serve key activating functions for the PSA gene [15,16]. By insertion of four tandem copies of the synthetic androgen-responsive element, or by duplication of a 400-base pair enhancer core element, a nearly 20-fold enhancement of activity over the parental PSE was achieved [17]. Similar approaches have been successful in improving the activity of the tyrosinase promoter [14] and the CEA promoter [42]. An interesting and more extreme approach would be to generate a complete synthetic promoter by multimerization and shuffling of known regulatory elements, then selecting the most active and properly regulated construct. This approach has been applied to the chicken skeletal α-actin promoter to achieve...
muscle-specific expression that exceeds the level of the CMV promoter [43].

Two strategies have frequently been employed to amplify weak promoter activity in a two-tiered manner (Figure 2). In an approach known as ‘two-step transcriptional activation’ (TSTA, Figure 2a), the specific promoter directed the potent transcription activator, GAL4-VP16, which in turn acted upon a second GAL-4-responsive reporter or therapeutic gene. This TSTA approach, based on the original ‘enhancer trap’ methodology to study gene expression in Drosophila melanogaster development, can boost the activity of the PSA promoter over a range of up to 1000-fold [44,45]. Optimal TSTA constructs displayed activity levels significantly higher than those of the CMV promoter, while maintaining prostate cell specificity and androgen responsiveness [44,45]. The fidelity of this prostate-targeted TSTA-firefly luciferase (FL) expression cassette was maintained when inserted into an adenoviral vector, AdTSTA-FL [46]. Many applications of this two-tiered amplification strategy have been documented, including amplification of PSA promoter-mediated polyglutamine expression to treat prostate cancer [47], enhancement of the CEA promoter [48] and Muc-1-mediated expression for colon cancer [49].

In another approach, a cancer-specific promoter controls the expression of Cre site-specific recombinase [50], which activates the reporter or therapeutic gene expression in a second step (Figure 2b). The desired transgene is linked to a strong constitutive promoter that is interrupted by expression termination sequences flanked by two loxP...

Figure 2. Binary approaches to amplify activity of weak promoter. (a) Two-step transcriptional amplification. The specific promoter drives expression of a synthetic transcriptional activator, GAL4-VP16, which in turn binds to and activates the GAL4 responsive promoter of the reporter or therapeutic gene. Multiple GAL4-VP16 activators work in concert to synergistically activate transcription of the downstream gene (denoted by upward sweeping arrow). (b) Cre-mediated activation of gene expression. Cre recombinase expression is regulated by a tissue- or cancer-specific promoter. Activation of transgene expression is induced by removal of the translational inhibition sequence via a Cre-specific recombination between the two loxP sites.
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sites, the cognate site of Cre. Commonly, the two components of this scheme are incorporated into two separate adenoviral vectors [51–54]. By co-infection into target cells, the cell-specific, Cre-dependent activation of transgene expression was demonstrated in CEA-targeted systems [51], in thyroid carcinoma-targeted therapy [52], in a growth hormone promoter-mediated strategy targeting pituitary tumor [53] and in AFP promoter-based therapy for liver tumor [54]. The specificity of this system is maintained when the Cre and the loxP component are inserted in two separate vectors. However, the activation of this system requires co-delivery of two vectors into the same cell, which could be inefficient in vivo [54].

Imaging-specific expression in animals
Non-invasive gene-based imaging is a powerful tool to assess the performance of targeted gene therapy in vivo. Due to the multitude of therapeutic genes used, direct imaging of each therapeutic gene is not feasible. Thus, an imaging reporter gene delivered and expressed in conjunction with the therapeutic gene becomes a generalizable approach to monitor expression in vivo. Rapid advances in imaging technologies have accomplished repetitive monitoring of detailed location, magnitude and kinetics of reporter gene expression in living animals [55]. Two such modalities that will be discussed, luciferase-based bioluminescence imaging (BLI) and positron emission tomography (PET) have frequently been applied in preclinical small animal models.

BLI [56] has the distinct advantage of low background signal, ease of use, and low cost, in comparison with radionuclide imaging; however, it is limited by light scatter and absorption, presenting difficulties in detecting and localizing signals in deep tissues. Luciferase is a generic term for a family of photo-proteins that can be isolated from insects, marine organisms and prokaryotes [57]. Biochemically, all luciferases are oxygenases that use molecular oxygen to oxidize a substrate, with the formation of product in an electronically excited state. The bioluminescent systems are not evolutionarily conserved; thus, each luciferase isolated from a particular organism catalyzes a unique substrate, with emission spectra ranging between 400 and 620 nm [57]. Imaging of FL expression in living mice has been accomplished using a highly sensitive charged coupled device (CCD) camera [56,58]. Light is produced through the interaction of FL with its substrate, D-luciferin, injected peritoneally in the presence of magnesium and ATP [56]. An estimate of the sensitivity of this FL-based CCD imaging is illustrated in Figure 3. Optical signal intensity (listed below images in relative luminescent units per min of acquisition, RLU/min) is roughly proportional to the number of AdCMV-FL-infected cells (listed above the images). The negative control cells were infected with AdCMV-TK.

lesions in living mice [18]. Because these vector-based gene imaging approaches are relatively new, extra caution is needed to assure the reliability of the optical signals. As shown in Figures 4 and 5, the positive imaging signals were confirmed with detailed histological and pathological analyses of the tissues [18] (Figure 4) and with the sensitive polymerase chain reaction technology (Figure 5). Moreover, the highly amplified prostate-specific AdTSTA-FL can be applied to interrogate AR function during prostate cancer progression [46]. The real-time optical signals mediated by AdTSTA-FL correlated with cellular transcription complex formation by chromatin immunoprecipitation and AR cellular localization [46] (Figure 6). Depletion of testicular androgen in castrated animals resulted in rapid decay of the AdTSTA-FL mediated signal, which correlated with diffusion of AR into the cytoplasm from the nucleus (Figure 6).

Multiple distinct luciferases could potentially be developed for BLI. This exciting development means that it will be possible simultaneously to monitor multiple pathways or cell populations in the animal. In fact, Gambhir’s group has demonstrated the feasibility of this principle by simultaneously monitoring Renilla luciferase (RL) and FL [59]. RL is purified from sea pansy, a bioluminescent soft coral. This enzyme has an origin, enzyme structure and substrate requirements distinct from FL, and it catalyzes coelenterazine oxidation. Thus, by injecting coelenterazine or D-luciferin, respective levels of RL and FL expression can be imaged simultaneously in the same mouse, to track two different cell populations or gene therapy vectors [59]. Although BLI is widely applicable to investigating many biological processes in small...
animals [46], it cannot be applied to human studies because of the loss of signal penetration with increased tissue depth. To translate optical imaging results in animals to clinical settings, a high-energy imaging modality will be needed.

PET is a radionuclide imaging modality widely used in clinical settings. Our institution has acquired substantial experience in adapting this modality to gene-based imaging in small animals, using the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK) or the dopamine type 2 receptor gene [60]. Compared with optical imaging, PET has the distinct advantage of providing tomographic, quantitative image signals and adaptability for human imaging [60]. However, optical imaging is several orders of magnitude higher in sensitivity than PET [61]. Thus, gene expression amplification such as TSTA might be required to successfully implement PET imaging to monitor vector-mediated transgene expression in vivo.

One widely used PET reporter gene system is based on the HSV-TK gene. In contrast to human thymidine kinase, which phosphorylates thymidine selectively, HSV-TK has a relaxed substrate specificity for other nucleoside analogs, and can phosphorylate a variety of acycloguanosine and uracil derivatives. Radionuclide reporter probes derived from uracil [2-18F-fluoro-2-deoxy-1-β-D-arabinofuranosyl-5-iodouracil (FIAU) labeled with radioactive iodine (131I)] were visualized by confocal microscopy using CY-3 conjugated (red) human-specific pan-cytokeratin antibody (BioGenex Laboratories). Lung blood vessels were visualized by FITC-lectin (green).

**Figure 4.** Verification of positive optical signals. Animals bearing prostate tumor received 3.6 or 7.2 × 10⁷ infectious units of prostate-targeted adenovirus, AdPSE-BC-FL, administered via the tail vein. (a) Histological analysis to assess positive signal. Twelve days after administration, an optical signal was observed in the lung (left panel) of an animal that received 3.6 × 10⁷ infectious units of virus. The positive optical signal in this animal was correlated with the presence of metastatic human cancer cells in the lung (right panel). Cancer cells in the lung sections were visualized by confocal microscopy using CY-3 conjugated (red) human-specific pan-cytokeratin antibody (BioGenex Laboratories). Lung blood vessels were visualized by FITC-lectin (green).

**Figure 5.** Reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) to detect the presence of tumor cells in the lung. Semiquantitative RT–PCR was used to detect the presence of prostate-specific antigen (PSA)-expressing human cancer cells. The animals in the left and right panel received 3.6 × 10⁷ and 7.2 × 10⁷ infectious units of AdPSE-BC-FL, respectively. At 21 days after viral injection, the animal in the left and right panel displayed positive and negative lung signals, respectively, after organ isolation. Thirty-five cycles of PCR amplifications performed on the lung extract revealed a low-intensity positive band detected by PSA-specific primers (the animal in the left panel). No PSA transcripts were detected in the lung of the optically silent animal (right panel). Androgen receptor RNA was detected in the lung of non-tumor bearing (naïve) animals (negative control). Tumor tissue extract served as positive control.
(\(^{131}\text{I}\)) and from guanosine (\(^{18}\text{F}\)-labeled penciclovir, PCV) have been applied to single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and PET. The success of these imaging approaches in many mouse models is based on the ability of HSV-TK to selectively phosphorylate and sequester the probes in cells expressing this gene [60]. Active site HSV-TK variants have been generated by random mutagenesis of the binding site amino acids, and selected for increased affinity for the acycloguanosine analogs, compared with thymidine [62]. One HSV-TK variant, sr39tk, displayed enhanced \(^{18}\text{F}\)-labeled PCV substrate uptake and improved sensitivity of PET imaging compared with wild-type HSV-TK [63].

The HSV-TK gene has been used in suicide cancer therapy for more than ten years, with ongoing clinical trials [64]. Therefore, the HSV-TK gene has the unique

Figure 6. Dynamic imaging of androgen receptor (AR) function in tumors. LAPC-9 tumors grafted in SCID mice were injected with \(10^7\) infectious units of AdTSTA-FL. Optical signals were monitored by charged coupled device imaging repetitively at the specified days following injection. Animals castrated at 5 days following viral administration displayed a more rapid decay of intratumoral signal, compared with the non-castration animal. AR immunohistochemical staining of the respective tumors showed that depletion of testicular androgen correlated with the diffusion of AR into cytoplasm, compared with a predominant nuclear localization in the intact animals. Heterogeneity in AR staining was observed in tumors, both in intact or castrated animals.
property of being able to function both as an imaging reporter gene and as a cytotoxic therapeutic gene. In practice, different dosages of HSV-TK substrate are used to achieve the two modes of action. The 32P-labeled PCV level administered for PET imaging is three to four orders of magnitude lower than the toxic pharmacological dose of ganciclovir (GCV). The toxicity of GCV is a result of HSV-TK-mediated conversion to GCV monophosphate, which undergoes further phosphorylation to the triphosphate form; this in turn disrupts DNA synthesis and induces apoptosis [65,66]. Among a panel of adenoviral vectors expressing either the wild-type HSV-TK or active site variants, sr39tk showed improved therapeutic efficacy in response to GCV in prostate cancer cell lines and tumors [67].

Molecular imaging should play an important role in gene-based therapeutic and diagnostic studies. Imaging the expression levels of therapeutic genes by indirect methods [reporter genes] or direct methods (HSV-TK with PET) can assess the performance and verify the specificity of the cancer-targeted vector in vivo. For example, the magnitude of HSV-TK-mediated PET imaging signals correlate directly with the gene expression level [60]. Thus, PET imaging before GCV instillation should permit localization and assessment of transduction efficiency of HSV-TK gene therapy in vivo. Based on this information, the magnitude of transgene expression can be modulated to achieve optimal expression in the tumor target, to enhance therapeutic efficacy. Moreover, imaging approaches could be developed to assess treatment response in real time. In the case of suicide gene therapy, the HSV-TK-transduced tumor cells should be eradicated after GCV administration; consequently, PET signals should drop precipitously. The kinetics of PET signal diminution can be monitored in real time. In addition, if the cancer-targeted gene expression vector is truly specific in vivo, imaging of the reporter gene can be adapted to detect disseminated cancer cells [18]. By combining targeted gene-based imaging and therapeutic approaches, the potential to detect and treat metastatic cancer could be developed in the future.

Concluding remarks
To achieve highly precise cell-specific targeting remains a great challenge in the future of cancer therapy. Transcriptional targeting is a feasible means of improving the specificity and efficacy of gene therapy. However, significant obstacles remain in seeking out and destroying the hidden metastatic cancer cells in the whole organism. The well documented abnormal tumor angiogenesis [68] might prevent sufficient drug and gene therapy vector delivery to the tumor [69]. To further enhance tumor selectivity, approaches which target cell surface or vascular antigens [70] or biochemical pathways unique to tumors can be incorporated. We foresee that combining different targeting strategies into the cancer-specific vector could achieve synergistic selectivity. Non-invasive imaging will be a useful tool to assess the performance of the targeted vector in vivo. Meticulous design and stringent testing of cancer-targeted gene therapy in preclinical settings should facilitate a clear path for future applications in clinics.
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